1950 File No. 571/48(8)

B CLASS

P.W. DEPARTMENT

El. BRANCH (1)

---

(15)

Subject:— Rating Committee
appointment of
under Sec 57 of the Electric (Supply) Act 1948
Applu. for the appointment of by
Rabkavi El. Supply Co. Ltd.

IV

File No. 571/48(8) El(1)

G. R., G.-D., No. 2403, dated 22-6-22.]

Std. Scott. 78 a.

DOCKET SHEET

Subject :—

File No. 571 | 48(8)

PW DEPT.

51 BRANCH. (1)

References :—

A-5 III

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.
24/2	25.	Issue un. li. 81 gr li (15.3.50)
14/8/51		Ent. Record.

El.Br.(I). P.W.D.

Submitted.

2. Please see the Rabkavi Elec. Coy.'s letter at p.1 of C.S. The Coy. is going to apply for a Rating Committee. It however states that it has increased its rates for supply from 6 as. a unit to 9 as. a unit from 1-12-49and has started receiving payments of the bills prepared according to the enhanced rates. The Supply Coy. requests Govt. to advise as to what it should do.

3. In the press note issued on 10-12-49 the Electy. Undertakings have been advised to continue the rates for elec. supply which were prevailing on 30-9-49. The Rabkavi Elec. Supply Coy. will have to refund the excess charges recovered by it for the month of Dec. 1949 and continue the old rates till its claims for revision of rates are examined by the Rating Committee.

4. The Rabkavi Elec. S. Coy. was not levying surcharge on 30-9-49 and to fall in line with the other Coys. it will have to continue its original rates. It may be pointed out that in complying with the press note the Supply Coys. who were levying surcharge will be at liberty to continue the same charges (original rate + surcharge) while the Coys. who were not levying surcharge under the state regimes for some reason or other will now have to continue the supply at the original rates. To take a concrete example the Rabkavi Elec. Supply Coy. will have to continue to supply energy at 6 as. a unit while a Coy. who was levying surcharge at the rate of 33$\frac{1}{3}$% will be continuing the supply at 8 as. a unit (6 as. original rate + 2 as. surcharge) and the reasons necessitating an increase in the rate are the same in both the cases. The case of the Cambay Elec. Supply Coy. appears to be parallel to this. The increased rates enforced by that Coy.

are .....

are going to be shortly examined by Govt. It is therefore for consideration whether

(1) This case may be held up till decision is taken in that case and an interim reply be given to the Coy.

(2) The Rabkavi Elec. Supply.Coy. may be asked to continue the rates existing on 30-9-49 and to refund the excess charges recovered by the Coy. | A

5/2/50

VSD
4/2/50

Co may be informed as at 'A' above

6/2/50

[illegible]

J. 181-.. would prima facie be reasonable, but I am told that the sales & capacity of its plant are comparable to those of Surat & not those of Anand or Nadiad. If this is correct, then the charge of 161- & not 181- would be more fair. That question is examined on the relevant file.

MR NCV
7/2

571/48(4)
1082

4

P.W.D.

El.Dr(I)

Remembered.
P.30/6/5

2. Secy. draft is put up for approval with refce. to para 1 of the Secys note dated 7-2-50. P.2-3 It is however presumed that the information with refce. to the concluding portion of para 2 of the Secys note is to be obtained before the draft is issued. If the presumption is correct the El. Engr. may be requested to furnish the requisite information.

10/2/50

We may perhaps wait till we receive the information regarding rates charged by all the Cos in the merged areas, so that an uniform directive can be issued to all of them.

2/5

KJ 10/2/50

We may wait for receipt of information so that we have a full picture & can compare the charges in merged areas with charges levied in the same or in neighbouring districts by a similar concern generally

10/2

Secy

13

571/48(S)
1082

P.W.D.

~~El. Bre(1)~~

Resubmitted.

2. The El. Engr. may be requested urgently to furnish the necy. information with the following details with refce. to the Dy. Secy's and the Secy's notes at p. 4 ante, as quickly as possible

(1) Name of the elecly undertaking in the merged states

(2) (a) Rates on 30-9-49. (b) whether the Govt. was levying surcharge and at what rate

(3) Rates prevailing at present

(4) Similar elecly. undertaking not in the merged area

(5) Rates prevailing after 30-9-49 as a result of item (4).

His attention may be invited in this conn. to the para 2 of the Secy's note at p. 2 ante.

22/2/50
23

22/2/50

9.1.5

Urgent
No. 1 — 81 for Item 8 211-2-50

ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT
INWD. No. 14933
25 FEB

Rates charged for lighting by Supply Companies.

Supply Company at:	Rates for lighting. Per unit.
In the Merged States.	
Ramdurg.	Rs. 0-8-0
Jamkhandi	Rs. 0-9-0
Jaysingpur	Rs. 0-8-6
Savannur	Rs. 0-8-0
Cambay	Rs. 0-8-0
Sanjeli.	Rs. 0-8-0
Bilimora.	Rs. 0-6-0
Kathor	Rs. 0-8-0
Kolwad	Rs. 0-6-0
Viyara Kanpur	Rs. 0- 8-0
Deesa	Rs. 0-7-0
Dhanera.	Rs. 0-8-0
Karjan	Rs. 0-7-6
Amreli	Rs. 0-6-0
Dhari	Rs. 0-7-0
Kalol	Rs. 0-7-6
Kadi	Rs. 0-8-0
Mehsana	Rs. 0-8-0
Vadgaon	Rs. 0-8-0
Visnagar	Rs. 0-7-3
Sidhpur	Rs. 0-7-6
Budgaon	Rs. 0-8-0
Kurundwad	Rs. 0-8-0
Phaltan	Rs. 0-8-0
Aundh.	Rs. 0-12-0
Outside the Merged State.	
Rander	Rs. 0-7-0
Jalgaon	Rs. 0-8-0
Dhulia	Rs. 0-6-9
Pandharpur	Rs. 0-8-0
Panchgani.	Rs. 0-6-0
Amalner.	Rs. 0-7-9½
Baramati.	Rs. 0-8-0
Yeola.	Rs. 0-10-0
Sangamner.	Rs. 0-8-6
Viramgam.	Rs. 0-8-0
Karad.	Rs. 0-8-0
Nipani.	Rs. 0-8-0
Haveri.	Rs. 0-8-0
Nandurbar.	Rs. 0-7-3
Chopda.	Rs. 0-8-0
Byadgi.	Rs. 0-8-0
Ranebennur.	Rs. 0-8-0
Junnar.	Rs. 0-8-0
Udwada-Wapi.	Rs. 0-6-0
Mahad.	Rs. 0-6-0
Palghar.	Rs. 0-12-0
Mahableshwar.	Rs. 0-8-0
Sanand.	Rs. 0-8-0.
Koregaon.	Rs. 0-8-0
Athni.	Rs. 0-12-0.

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

Below U.O.R.NO. 571/48(8)/1082 dated the 24th February 1950, from Government in the Public Works Department.

No. URM3/5103 of 1951.

Bombay, 27th July 1951.

Returned, with compliments.

2. So far, no complaints have been received about the increased rate of annas nine per unit charged by the Company from 1st January 1950. The Company's peak load is 43 K.W. only and the supply is given only for lighting purposes, as there is absolutely no motive power load. A statement showing the rates charged for lighting by similar Companies in and out of the merged states is enclosed for the information of Government. Since rates higher than annas nine per unit have been sanctioned by Government on the recommendation of the Rating Committees in the case of Palghar-Navli, Sinnar, Chopda, etc., it is recommended that the rate of annas nine per unit levied by the Company, which is considered very reasonable, may be approved.

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

P.

K2817

M. D.
LRB 1851

65427

LRB 1851/6542

"K" Branch

P.W.D

Submitted with ref. to the Secy to the Govt. of the 24.2.50 ✓5

The above ppr deal (6 pcls) with the increase in the rates for elec. supply by the Rohtak Elec. Supply Co. Ltd. The Govt while furnishing a statement showing rates for lighting charged by several elec. undertakings in the merged & non-merged areas considers that the rate of 9 as per unit charged by the Supply Co. quite reasonable. The Coy. is charging the present rates (1 pcl) since Dec. 1949.

3/- Pl. see Secy's remarks of the 1.5.50 on another case of the Jamkusar Elec. Supply Co ⓧ where no complaints have been rcvd. against the rates of the Coy, no orders are called for Pl. see, para 3 of the Supply Coy's letter of the 3.1.1950. Perhaps no reply need be given to the Coy now: moreover no reminder has been rcvd. from the Coy.

ⓧ P. 12 file 8264/36(5) (latest) 86) flood below

3.5.51

Has a Retng Committee been constituted for this Co & by 3/6?

D.S.

P.T.O.

LRB 1851/6542 12

"K" Branch

Resubmitted.

21- The Coy. has not so far applied for the ~~Central~~ constitution of a Rating Committee; hence no Rating Committee has been constituted for the Rabkhawa El. Supply Co.

6/8/51

Addl. A Secy. should discuss.

kj 6/8/51

DS.

Discussed no action is necessary for the present

kj 4/8/51

THE RABKAVI ELECTRIC SUPPLY CO. LTD.,

RABKAVI (DIST. BELGAUM).

Your Ref. No.

Our Ref. No. 2/50

Date 3rd January 1950.

To;

Secretary, to the Government of Bombay,
P.W.D.

Dear Sir,

We beg to acknowledge receipt of your [illegible] under letter no 571/48-11-EL.(1). dated 15th December 1949.

2|- As it is we have already sent out the bills for energy supplied during the month of Dec. at 2½ hs 9|- per unit on 1st Jan 1950 as we have already informed you under our reference no. 185/49, dated 24th Dec 1949.

3|- The bills have already been distributed and payments have already started coming in. Under the circumstances please let us know as to what we should do in the matter

4|- We are also sending in our application to appoint a Rating Committee to enquire about increasing the present rate charge of our Company which please note and oblige

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours faithfully,

[signature]

EL BI 6/1

P. W. D.
File No. 571/48(8)
Serial No. 1082

Not No. 571/48(8) EL(1)

3

571/48(8)
1082

P.W.D.

B.C.(Bibary No.1) 3rd July 1950

To
M/s. The Rabkavi Elec. Supply Coy. Ltd,
Rabkavi, Dist Belgaum

Sub:- Rates for the supply of electy.

Dear Sirs,

I am to refer to your letter P-1 No.2/50, dated the 3-1-50 and to observe that it will be advisable for you to continue the rates prevailing on 30-9-49 and to refund the excess charges recovered by you as you are going to apply for a rating committee.

D.A.N.L

Yours ffly

10/2/50

D S